CED 6005 PATENT

Remarks

I. Interview Summary

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the telephone interview with the undersigned on November 16, 2004. During the interview, the election of species requirement set forth in the Office action dated October 25, 2004 was discussed. Specifically, Figures 1-7 were discussed generally. During the interview, the Examiner agreed that Figures 1-7 were directed to a single species of the invention and that the election of species requirement would be withdrawn upon receipt of a written response summarizing the telephone interview.

As discussed during the telephone interview, Figures 1-7 are directed to a first embodiment of an assembly of the present invention. Figure 1 shows an elevation, partially in section, of a chip module 1 showing an assembly of the present invention having electrical connection pads 23 on an integrated circuit device 3 and electrical connection pads 27 on a substrate 13. Figure 2 is an exploded perspective of the integrated circuit device and a substrate of the module showing the array of electrical connection pads. Figure 3-7 are various enlarged views of the first embodiment showing cylindrical projections 37 on the electrical connection pads 23 of the circuit device 3 and cylindrical projections 39 on the electrical connection pads 27 of the substrate 13.

Accordingly, applicant submits that Figures 1-7 show a single species of the invention and the election of species requirement set forth in the Office action should be withdrawn.

II. Election of Species

So as to be fully compliant with the requirements of the Office action of October 25, 2004, Applicant elects species IC (Figures 3-6), as identified therein by the Examiner, with traverse. Applicant traverses this restriction requirement as set forth above and discussed during the above-referenced telephone interview.

Applicant submits that the grouping of Species I, II, III, and IV set forth in AMENDMENT B AND ELECTION OF SPECIES file July 28, 2004 is the correct grouping of species between the remaining

CED 6005 PATENT

Figures in the application (Figures 1-11). Accordingly, applicant reaffirms the election of Species I (Figures 1-7) for prosecution on the merits and submits that no further election of species is warranted.

Claims 1-10, 14-19, 24, and 25 are readable on elected Species I (Figures 1-7). Claims 11, 20, 21, and 26 are readable on Species II (Figure 8). Claims 12, 22 and 27 are readable on Species III (Figure 9). Claims 13, 23, and 28 are readable on Species IV (Figures 10-11). Claims 1-3, 5, 14-18, and 24 are generic to all species.

Favorable consideration and allowance of claims 1-28 are respectfully requested.

Applicant does not believe any fee is required by the timely submission of this response. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-1345.

Respectfully submitted,

inder I Clambood

Andrew N. Claerbout, Reg. No. 50,202

SENNIGER POWERS

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

(314) 231-5400

ANC/jmd

VIA FACSIMILE - 703-872-9306